



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,411	05/11/2005	Michio Tsuyumoto	3273-0202PUS1	4477
2292	7590	05/05/2010	EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747				DESAI, ANISH P
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1787				
NOTIFICATION DATE			DELIVERY MODE	
05/05/2010			ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/534,411	TSUYUMOTO ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
ANISH DESAI	1787	

All Participants:

Status of Application: After-Final

(1) ANISH DESAI. (3) ____.
 (2) Mr. Craig McRobbie (Attorney). (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 28 April 2010

Time: approx 8.15A

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Callie E. Shosho/
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1787
 /A. D./
 Examiner, Art Unit 1787

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On 04/28/10 and 04/29/10, the Examiner called Mr. McRobbie to discuss after-final amendment submitted on 03/30/10 in order to place the application in condition for allowance. However, the Examiner was unable to reach Mr. McRobbie. The Examiner left a voicemail for Mr. McRobbie.